EXHIBIT 9

November 30, 2018

-	
Baddaday ja payangayaya akida	Page 1
WAY NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
STATE OF THE PARTY	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
and the second second	SEAN SMITH and CRYSTAL)
	Plaintiffs,)
	-vs-) No. 5:17-CV-1302-D
Actions of tests for the first feet of the	CSAA FIRE and CASUALTY) INSURANCE COMPANY,)
	Defendant.)
	DEPOSITION OF J. STEPHEN FORD, Ph.D, P.E.
	TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
	IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
	ON NOVEMBER 30, 2018
	REPORTED BY: KASEY D. EGELSTON, CSR

November 30, 2018

```
Page 71
      addition, obviously, the whole premise is this -- or
 1
     the background of this, is certainly I was on the
 2
 3
     site and it indicates that I was on site on April
     20th. But on page 4 or ZFI -- Bates-number ZFI 17,
 4
     under facts or data considered, I do indicate that I
 5
     had reviewed Dr. Holliday's report.
 6
 7
               So you've got Dr. Holliday's report. You
 8
     also reviewed your site inspection photographs?
 9
          Α
               Sure.
10
              And your site inspection falls into a
          0
11
     different category itself, so you have the site
     inspection itself as something you reviewed; right?
12
              The information obtained during the site
13
     visit, plus the photographs that were taken there.
14
15
          0
              Okay.
              Plus the transcript that was made while {\tt I}
16
     was on site. As indicated in the report, I did
17
18
     review some weather data.
              That's not included in the report though,
19
          Q
     is it?
20
21
                  The actual data is not included in the
              No.
     report, but the indication of where I obtained the
22
23
     data --
24
              Weather Underground, it's listed in there
          0
25
     somewhere.
```

November 30, 2018

```
Page 72
 1
          Α
              That's correct.
 2
          0
              The other thing is, is that exclusively
 3
     all you had when you were reviewing this file prior
     to writing this report?
 4
 5
              I believe that's correct.
 6
              Did you have Lisa Holliday's deposition at
          0
 7
     that time?
 8
          Α
              No.
 9
              And since this inspection report, you
     reviewed the testimony of Lisa Holliday; right?
10
11
              That's correct.
          A
12
              And you haven't reviewed any additional
          0
13
     pictures; right?
14
          A
              That's correct.
15
              Have you reviewed any other documents
16
     besides Lisa Holliday's deposition since writing
17
     that report?
18
              I'm sorry, could you ask the question
19
     again?
20
              Yes. Have you reviewed any other
21
     documentation since writing that report, other than
22
     her deposition?
23
              Well, I mean, again, I indicated earlier I
24
     reviewed both the depositions of Mr. and Mrs.
25
     Smith.
```

November 30, 2018

Page 129 I believe that's correct. I think she 1 2 testified to that. 3 Do you believe that Ms. Lisa Holliday did a 4 sufficient inspection of this property? 5 Α Yes. You know, based on what I saw from my inspection of the exterior and the interior of the 6 house, if I hadn't been able to get into the attic, 7 I would still have exactly the same opinions. If I 8 9 hadn't been able to get in the crawlspace, I would still have exactly the same opinions with regard to 10 whether the floor issues were seismically-related 11 12 just based on all of the data that was available. Obviously, I didn't really see anything in the attic 13 that brought anything to supplementing that opinion, 14 other than the absence of damage that was consistent 15 with seismic forces. 16 17 The foundation issues of deflected floors, 18 the -- you know, that strengthened the opinion --19 the wet subgrade and the moisture-related issues 20 that I saw, in addition to the non-vented 21 crawlspace, all just strengthened the opinion that I 22 would have had without doing it. Doing it -- you know, looking in the crawlspace, I would still come 23 to the exact same conclusion that it was not 24 25 seismically-related. I understand exactly, you

November 30, 2018

```
Page 130
     know, what she did and it was an appropriate level
 1
 2
     of -- what I understand her assessment was, the
     process of the assessment and the opinions, I think
 3
     she had an appropriate level of investigation and
 4
 5
     certainly I agree with the conclusions.
 6
          Q
              Well, it's -- do you know Lisa Holliday?
 7
          Α
              No.
 8
          0
              Have you ever met her?
 9
          Α
              No.
                   Not that I know of.
10
              But you're okay backing up her thoroughness
11
     of her investigation?
12
              That's basically correct. If I had not had
13
     access to the attic or didn't think I had access to
     the attic or didn't think I had access to the
14
     crawlspace, I would have come to the same
15
16
     conclusions she came to.
17
              Okay.
          Q
18
                  MR. ENGEL: Let me read through this.
19
              (Break was had from 12:43 to 12:48)
20
          Q
              (By Mr. Engel) You've read -- you're back
21
     on the record, Mr. Ford. You understand that -- I
22
     understand that you've read the depositions of Mr.
23
     and Mrs. Smith?
24
          A
              That's correct.
25
              And you're aware that the Smiths testified
```

November 30, 2018

```
Page 148
               Have I been fair and polite to you today?
 1
           0
 2
               Yes.
          Α
 3
                  MR. ANDREWS: Are you going to ask me
 4
     that question too?
 5
                  MR. ENGEL: Have I been fair and polite
     to you today? We can go to lunch now; right?
 6
 7
                  MR. ANDREWS: Are you finished?
 8
                 MR. ENGEL: I'm done.
 9
                 MR. ANDREWS: I just have a couple of
     real quick questions following up on what you were
10
11
     just asked.
12
                     CROSS EXAMINATION
13
     BY MR. ANDREWS:
14
             Counsel had asked you regarding -- your
     opinions regarding Lisa Holliday's investigation at
15
16
     the house. Do you recall that?
17
          A
            Yes.
18
              And you have reviewed her report, as well
     as her deposition; correct?
19
20
          Α
              Yes.
21
              And you've also reviewed the depositions of
22
     both plaintiffs; correct?
23
          А
              Yes.
              Do you believe Lisa Holliday was qualified
24
25
     to investigate this claim?
```

November 30, 2018

```
Page 149
 1
                  MR. ENGEL: Form.
 2
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
 3
               (By Mr. Andrews) Do you believe her
          0
     investigation was thorough?
 4
 5
                 MR. ENGEL: Let me just -- standing
     objection to all of this to the form, outside of his
 6
 7
     report, and then you can go ahead. Is that okay?
 8
                 MR. ANDREWS: Yeah, that's fine.
 9
                  MR. ENGEL: Okay. Anything relates to
10
     how well Lisa did.
11
              (By Mr. Andrews) So do you believe her
12
     investigation was thorough?
13
          A
              Yes.
14
              Do you believe her investigation was
          Q
15
     proper?
16
          A
              Yes.
17
              And do you believe her investigation was
          0
     detailed enough to determine the cause of damage in
18
19
     this claim?
20
          Α
              Yes.
21
              I asked if you believe that Lisa Holliday
     was qualified. I think I did, but I can't recall.
22
23
          A
              Yes, she was -- is.
24
              During one of the breaks counsel made a
25
     statement that plaintiffs have had plenty of experts
```